## Electrophilic Fluorination of Aryltrialkyltin Derivatives with Caesium Fluoroxysulphate

Martin R. Bryce,<sup>a</sup> Richard D. Chambers,\*<sup>a</sup> Stephen T. Mullins,<sup>a</sup> and Ann Parkin<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Durham, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, U.K.

<sup>b</sup> Beecham Pharmaceuticals, Biosciences Research Centre, Epsom, Surrey KT18 5XQ, U.K.

Cleavage of aryltrialkylstannanes by caesium fluoroxysulphate provides an efficient route for selective fluorination of aromatic rings.

The reaction of fluorine with aromatic compounds is frequently non-selective and can lead to oxidation or fragmentation of the substrate,<sup>1</sup> therefore the development of new reagents for selective aromatic fluorination is of keen interest. Electrophilic fluorinating agents, *e.g.* CF<sub>3</sub>OF and MeCO<sub>2</sub>F, are alternatives to the classical Balz–Schiemann reaction. Rozen and co-workers have shown that fluorination with MeCO<sub>2</sub>F requires strongly electron-donating substituents on the aromatic ring.<sup>2</sup> Canadian workers have described the preparation of <sup>18</sup>F-labelled aryl fluorides by cleavage of aryl–metal bonds (metal = Sn, Pb, Ge, Si, Hg, and Tl) with MeCO<sub>2</sub>F and F<sub>2</sub>, both labelled with <sup>18</sup>F.<sup>3</sup> Electrophilic radiofluorination with these reagents of aryltrimethylsilanes<sup>4</sup> and fluorination of arylmercurials with MeCO<sub>2</sub>F<sup>5</sup> have

	$\frac{XC_6H_4SnR_2}{(1)}$	$a - p \longrightarrow$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{XC}_{6}\mathrm{H}_{4}\mathrm{F}\text{-}p\\ (2)\end{array}$
			% Yield
			(g.cmass spec.)
a;	X = H;	R = Me	69
b;	X = Me;	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{e}$	86
с;	X = OMe;	R = Me	79
d;	X = Cl;	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{e}$	87
<b>e</b> ;	X = OMe;	$R = Bu^n$	42
f;	X = Me;	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}^n$	11
<b>g</b> ;		$R = C_6 H_{11}$	0
h;	X = OMe;	$R = C_6 H_{11}$	0

recently been described by other groups, and we have reported fluorination of aryltin and arylmercury derivatives using CF<sub>3</sub>OF.<sup>6</sup> Caesium fluoroxysulphate,  $CsSO_4F$ , has also been developed as a reagent for electrophilic fluorination of alkenes, enol acetates, and uracil derivatives,<sup>7</sup> although direct fluorination of aromatic molecules by  $CsSO_4F$  tends to be non-selective.<sup>8</sup> We now report that  $CsSO_4F$  cleaves aryltrimethylstannanes selectively to yield fluorobenzene derivatives in high yield under mild conditions. This is the first study concerned with the cleavage of aryl-metal bonds with  $CsSO_4F$ .

A mixture of CsSO<sub>4</sub>F (1 mol. equiv.) and aryltrimethylstannane derivative (1a-d) (1.5 mol. equiv.) in acetonitrile was stirred at room temperature for 15 h; insoluble products were removed by filtration to yield a solution of the fluorocarbon product, (2a-d), which was characterised by comparison with authentic samples using <sup>19</sup>F n.m.r. spectroscopy and g.c.mass spectral analysis. Cleavage of the Me<sub>3</sub>Sn group by  $CsSO_4F$  was efficient in all cases but replacement of Me<sub>3</sub>Sn by Bun<sub>3</sub>Sn, (1e,f) resulted in lower yields of products, while replacement by tris(cyclohexyl)tin groups (1g,h) led to no detectable formation of fluoroaromatic product. This may be due to the reduced solubility of the bulkier organostannane derivatives (1e-h), even in mixed MeCN/CHCl<sub>3</sub> solvent systems, and steric hindrance to the approach of CsSO<sub>4</sub>F could also be a relevant factor. Reactions of compounds (1a-f) with CsSO<sub>4</sub>F are all regiospecific; no difluorinated products were observed by g.l.c. under conditions where 1% would be clearly detectable.

Considering the ease with which  $SnMe_3$  groups can be attached to aromatic rings and the fact that  $CsSO_4F$  can be readily prepared we have described a highly efficient, regioselective approach to the synthesis of fluorobenzene derivatives.

Received, 28th July 1986; Com. 1061

## References

- 1 R. D. Chambers, 'Fluorine in Organic Chemistry,' Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1973.
- 2 O. Lerman, Y. Tor, D. Hebel, and S. Rozen, J. Org. Chem., 1984, 49, 806.

- 3 M. J. Adam, J. M. Berry, L. D. Hall, B. D. Pate, and T. J. Ruth, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1983, **61**, 658; M. J. Adam, T. J. Ruth, S. Jivan, and B. D. Pate, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 1984, **25**, 329.
- 4 M. Speranza, C-Y. Shine, A. P. Wolf, D. S. Wilbur, and C. Angelini, J. Fluorine Chem., 1985, 30, 97.
- 5 G. W. M. Visser, B. W. v. Halteren, J. D. M. Herscheid, G. A. Brinkman, and A. Hoekster, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 655; G. V. M. Visser, C. N. M. Bakker, B. W. v. Halteren, J. D. M. Herscheid, G. A. Brinkman, and A. Hoekstra, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 1886.
- 6 M. R. Bryce, R. D. Chambers, S. T. Mullins, and A. Parkin, J. Fluorine Chem., 1984, 26, 533.
- 7 S. Stavber and M. Zupan, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1983, 563; M. Zupan, Vestn. Slov. Kem. Drus., 1984, 31, 151.
- 8 S. Stavber and M. Zupan, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1981, 148.